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Further senses along which $S_q$, or other entropic functionals, would be unique are certainly welcome.
Constantino Tsallis in “Senses along Which the Entropy $S_q$ Is Unique”, 2023 Entropy 25, 743.
Meeting Constantino 55 years ago, maybe; 54 years ago, for sure
This work started this September and was inspired by


Zhong Chao Wu, Inverse Temperature 4-vector in Special Relativity, 2009 EPL 88, 20005.


OUTLINE

1 Temperature, Heat, Entropy, that Obscure Objects of Desire
2 Maxwell-Jüttner distribution (from Synge)
3 de Sitterian material
4 Tsallis distribution as a $\Lambda$-deformation of the Maxwell-Jüttner distribution
PREAMBLE :

Temperature, Heat, Entropy, that Obscure Objects of Desire
It is well known that entropy, alongside the spacetime interval, electric charge, and mechanical action, is one of the fundamental “invariants” of the theory of relativity. To convince oneself of this, it is enough to recall that, according to Boltzmann, the entropy of a macroscopic state is proportional to the logarithm of the number of microstates that realize that state. To strengthen this reasoning, one can argue that, on the one hand, the definition of entropy involves a integer number of microstates, and, on the other hand, the transformation of entropy during a Galilean reference frame change must be expressed as a continuous function of the relative velocity of the reference frames. Consequently, this continuous function is necessarily constant and equal to unity, which means that entropy is constant.
“Relativistic thermodynamics” : what it could be

In relativistic thermodynamics (i.e., in accordance with special relativity) there are 3 points of view (see Wu, EPL 2009)\(^1\), distinguished from the way heat \(\Delta Q\) and temperature \(T\) transform under a Lorentz boost from frame \(\mathcal{R}_0\) (e.g., laboratory) to comoving frame \(\mathcal{R}\) with velocity \(v = v\hat{n}\) relative to \(\mathcal{R}_0\) and Lorentz factor

\[
\gamma(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
\]

- Point of view (a) (Einstein, Planck, de Broglie ...), the covariant one,
  \[
  \Delta Q = \Delta Q_0 \gamma^{-1}, \quad T = T_0 \gamma^{-1}.
  \]
- Point of view (b) (Ott, Arzelies, ...), the anti-covariant one,
  \[
  \Delta Q = \Delta Q_0 \gamma, \quad T = T_0 \gamma.
  \]
- Point of view (c) (Landsberg, 1966, ...), “nothing changes”,
  \[
  \Delta Q = \Delta Q_0, \quad T = T_0.
  \]
- Also note that for some authors (Landsbergh, Sewell, ...) “there is no meaningful law of temperature under boosts”

---
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Relativistic covariance of Temperature according to de Broglie (1948)

- Consider a body $B$, its proper frame $\mathcal{R}_0$, total proper mass $M_0$, in thermodynamical equilibrium with temperature $T_0$ and fixed volume $V_0$ (e.g., a gas enclosed with surrounding rigid wall).
- Then consider $B$ from an inertial frame $\mathcal{R}$ in which $B$ has constant velocity $v = v\hat{n}$ relative to $\mathcal{R}_0$.
- Suppose that a source in $\mathcal{R}$ provides $B$ with heat $\Delta Q$. In order to keep the velocity $v$ of $B$ constant a work $W$ has to be done on $B$ and the proper mass of the latter is consequently modified $M_0 \rightarrow M'_0$. Then, from energy conservation:
  \[(M'_0 - M_0)\gamma c^2 = \Delta Q + W, \quad \gamma = \gamma(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},\]

and relativistic 2d Newton law

\[\Delta P = M'_0\gamma v - M_0 \gamma v = \int Fdt = \frac{1}{v} \int Fvdt = \frac{W}{v}\]

we derive

\[\Delta Q = \frac{c^2}{v^2} \gamma^{-2} W = (M'_0 - M_0)c^2 \gamma^{-2}\]

- In the frame $\mathcal{R}_0$ there is no work done (volume is constant), there is just transmitted heat $\Delta Q_0 = (M'_0 - M_0)c^2$.
- Hence heat transforms as

\[\Delta Q = \Delta Q_0 \gamma^{-1}\]

and since the entropy $S = \int \frac{dQ}{T}$ is relativistic invariant, $S = S_0$, temperature transforms as

\[T = T_0 \gamma^{-1}\]
Maxwell-Jüttner distribution
(from Synge)
Notations for Minkowskian event and 4-momenta 4-vectors,
\[
\mathbb{M}_{1,3} \ni x = (x^\mu) = (x^0 = x_0, x^i = -x_i, i = 1, 2, 3) \equiv (x^0, \mathbf{x}), \quad x \cdot x' = x^\mu x'_\mu = x^0 x'^0 - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}',
\]
\[
k \equiv (k^\mu) = (k^0, \mathbf{k}).
\]

Let \( k \) be a 4-momentum pointing toward \( A \in \) mass shell hyperboloid \( \mathcal{V}_m^+ = \{ k, k \cdot k = m^2 c^2 \} \), and an infinitesimal hyperbolic interval at \( A \), with length \( d\sigma = mc \, d\omega \) (\( d\omega = \frac{d^3k}{k_0} \) is the Lorentz-invariant element on \( \mathcal{V}_m^+ \)),

- given a time-like unit vector \( n \),
- given a straight line \( \Delta \) passing through the origin and orthogonal (in \( \mathbb{M}_{1,3} \) sense) to \( n \),
- denote by \( d\Omega \) the length of the projection of \( d\sigma \) on \( \Delta \) along \( n \). One proves that

\[
d\Omega = |k \cdot n| \, d\omega \quad (= d^3k \text{ if } n = (1, 0))
\]
The sample population consists of those particles with world lines cutting the infinitesimal space-like segment $d\Sigma$ orthogonal to the time-like unit vector $n$.

Every particle that crosses the portion $C$ of the null cone between $M$ and $d\Sigma$ must (causal cone) also cross $d\Sigma$. Therefore the following population number is preassigned

$$\nu = d\Sigma \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}(x, k) \, d\Omega$$

where $\mathcal{N}(x, k)$ is the distribution function, and $\mathcal{R}$ the region delimited by $M$ and $d\Sigma$.

By the conservation of 4-momentum at each collision in a simple gas, the flux of 4-momentum across $d\Sigma$ is predetermined as the flux across $C$,

$$T_{\mu} \cdot n \, d\Sigma = d\Sigma \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}(x, k) \, ck_{\mu} \, d\Omega$$

where $T = (T_{\mu\nu})$ is the energy tensor.
Derivation for simple gases (Synge)-III

- The most probable distribution function \( \mathcal{N} \) at \( M \) is that which maximizes the following entropy integral

\[
F = -d\Sigma \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N} \log \mathcal{N} \, d\Omega
\]

- Variational calculus with constraints on \( \nu \) and \( T_\mu \cdot n \) leads to the solution

\[
\mathcal{N}(x, k) = C(x) \exp(-\eta(x) \cdot k)
\]

- Scalar \( C \) and 4-vector \( \eta \) (dimension of inverse momentum) are connected with Lagrange multipliers and determined by the constraints on \( \nu = \bar{N} \cdot n \, d\Sigma \) (\( \bar{N} \) is the numerical-flux 4-vector) and \( T_\mu \cdot n \, d\Sigma \):

\[
C \int_{\mathcal{V}^+_m} k_\mu \, e^{-\eta \cdot k} \, d\omega = N_\mu , \quad C \int_{\mathcal{V}^+_m} c k_\mu k_\nu \, e^{-\eta \cdot k} \, d\omega = T_{\mu\nu} .
\]

established by taking into account that \( n \) is arbitrary.

- With the equations of conservation

\[
\partial \cdot N = 0 , \quad \partial \cdot T_\mu = 0 ,
\]

we finally get as many equations as functions of \( x : C, \eta, N, T. \)
For instance, if we deal with a simple gas consisting of material particles of proper mass \( m \), we introduce the mean 4-velocity of the fluid, \( \lambda = (\lambda_\mu = c \eta_\mu / \sqrt{\eta \cdot \eta}) \), \( \lambda \cdot \lambda = c^2 \), so that

\[
\mathcal{N}(x, k) := \frac{\mathcal{N}_0}{mcK_1(mc^2/k_BT_a)} \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda \cdot k}{k_BT_a} \right).
\]

\( T_a := c/(k_B \sqrt{\eta \cdot \eta}) \) is a “relativistic” absolute temperature (a relativistic invariant).

The appearance of the Bessel functions \( K_1 \) comes from the constraint

\[
N_\mu = C \int_{V^+_{\mu}} k_\mu e^{-\eta \cdot k} \frac{d^3 k}{k_0} = -C \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \eta^\mu} = C \frac{\eta_\mu mc}{\sqrt{\eta \cdot \eta}} K_1 \left( mc \sqrt{\eta \cdot \eta} \right),
\]

where \( Z = \int_{\mathcal{R}} e^{-\eta \cdot k} \frac{d^3 k}{k_0} = K_0 \left( mc \sqrt{\eta \cdot \eta} \right) \) is the partition function.

The invariant quantity \( \mathcal{N}_0 = N \cdot \lambda / c \) is the number of particles per unit length (“numerical density”) in the rest frame of the fluid (\( \lambda_0 = c \)).

Note that the Maxwell-Boltzmann non relativistic distribution is recovered by considering the limit at \( k_BT_a \ll mc^2 \) in the rest frame of the fluid:

\[
K_1 \left( \frac{mc^2}{k_BT_a} \right) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi k_BT_a}{2mc^2}} e^{-\frac{mc^2}{k_BT_a}}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(x, k) \approx \mathcal{N}_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi mk_BT_a}} \exp \left( -\frac{k_0 c - mc^2}{k_BT_a} \right) \approx \mathcal{N}_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi mk_BT_a}} \exp \left( -\frac{k^2}{2mk_BT_a} \right).
\]
The found distribution on the Minkowskian mass shell for a simple gas consisting of particles of proper mass $m$

$$\mathcal{N}(x, k) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_0}{mcK_1 (mc^2/k_B T_a)} \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda \cdot k}{k_B T_a} \right)$$

leads us to introduce the relativistic thermodynamic, future directed, time-like 4-coldness vector $\vec{\beta}$, as the 4-version of the reciprocal of the thermodynamic temperature (see also Wu 2009):

$$\frac{\lambda}{k_B T_a} \equiv \vec{\beta} = (\beta^0 = \beta_0 > 0, \beta^i = -\beta_i) = (\beta_0, \vec{\beta})$$

with relativistic invariant

$$\sqrt{\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\beta}} = \frac{c}{k_B T_a} \equiv \beta_a.$$

It is precisely the way as the component $\beta_0$ transforms under a Lorentz boost, $\beta'_0 = \gamma(v)(\beta_0 - v \cdot \vec{\beta}/c)$, which explains the way the temperature transforms à la de Broglie, $T \mapsto T' = T\gamma^{-1}$.

So, in the sequel, we call Maxwell-Jüttner distribution the following relativistic invariant:

$$\mathcal{N}(\vec{\beta}, k) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_0}{mcK_1 (mc\beta_a)} \exp \left( -\vec{\beta} \cdot k \right)$$
Maxwell-Jüttner distribution in a nutshell

- **Distribution**
  \[
  N(x, k) = \frac{N_0}{mcK_1}\left(\frac{mc^2}{k_B T_a}\right)^\frac{3}{2} \exp\left(-\beta(x) \cdot k\right)
  \]

- **Momentum 4-vector**
  \[
  k = (k^0, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathcal{V}_m^+ , \quad k \cdot k = m^2 c^2
  \]

- **Coldness 4-vector field**
  \[\beta = (\beta_0 > 0, \mathbf{\beta}) = \frac{\lambda}{k_B T_a} , \quad \sqrt{\beta \cdot \beta} = \frac{c}{k_B T_a}\]
de Sitterian material
de Sitter geometry

- de Sitter space is viewed as a hyperboloid embedded in a five-dimensional Minkowski space \( \mathbb{M}_{1,4} \) with metric \( g_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1) \) (but keep in mind that all points are physically equivalent)

\[
\mathbb{M}_R \equiv \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^5 ; x^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta = -R^2 \}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,
\]

where the pseudo-radius \( R \) (or inverse of curvature) is given by \( R = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \) within the cosmological \( \Lambda \)CDM standard model.

- de Sitter symmetry group is \( \text{SO}(1, 4) \) with ten (Killing) generators \( K_{\alpha\beta} = x_\alpha \partial_\beta - x_\beta \partial_\gamma \).
Example of global coordinates on $M_R$, $ct \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in S^2$, $r/R \in [0, \pi]$:

$$M_R \ni x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \equiv (x^0, x, x^4)$$

$$= (R \sinh(ct/R), R \cosh(ct/R) \sin(r/R)n, R \cosh(ct/R) \cos(r/R)) \equiv x(t, x).$$

$\lim_{R \to \infty} M_R = \mathbb{M}_{1,3}$, the Minkowski spacetime tangent to $M_R$ at, say, the de Sitter origin point $O_{dS} = (0, 0, R)$, since then

$$M_R \ni x \xrightarrow{R \to \infty} (ct, r = r n, R) \equiv (\ell, R), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{M}_{1,3}$$

$\lim_{R \to \infty} SO_0(1, 4) = \mathcal{P}^\uparrow_+ (1, 3) = \mathbb{M}_{1,3} \rtimes SO_0(1, 3)$, the Poincaré group.

The ten de Sitter Killing generators contract (in the Wigner-Inonü sense) to their Poincaré counterparts $K_{\mu \nu}$, $\Pi_\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, after rescaling the four $K_{4\mu} \longrightarrow \Pi_\mu = K_{4\mu}/R$. 
de Sitter plane waves as binomial deformations of Minkowskian plane waves

- de Sitter (scalar) plane waves have the form
  \[ \phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) = \left( \frac{x \cdot \xi}{R} \right)^\tau, \quad x \in \mathbb{M}_R, \quad \xi \in C_{1,4}, \]
  where \( C_{1,4} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^5, \xi \cdot \xi = 0 \} \) is the null cone in \( \mathbb{M}_{1,4} \).

- They are solutions of the Klein-Gordon-like equation
  \[ \frac{1}{2}M_{\alpha\beta}M^{\alpha\beta}\phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) = R^2 \Box_R \phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) = \tau(\tau + 3)\phi_{\tau,\xi}(x), \]
  where \( M_{\alpha\beta} = -i(x_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta} - x_{\beta}\partial_{\gamma}) \) is the quantum representation of the Killing \( K_{\alpha\beta} \), and \( \Box_R \) stands for the d’Alembertian operator on \( \mathbb{M}_R \).

- For \( \tau = -\frac{3}{2} + i\nu, \nu \in \mathbb{R} \), they describe free quantum motions of “massive” scalar particles on \( \mathbb{M}_R \).

- The term “massive” is justified by the flat Minkowskian limit \( R \to \infty \), i.e. \( \Lambda \to 0 \):
  - First one has the Garidi relation between proper mass \( m \) (curvature independent) of the particle and the parameter \( \nu \geq 0 \)
    \[ m = \frac{\hbar c}{Rc} \left[ \nu^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right]^{1/2} \Leftrightarrow \nu = \sqrt{\frac{R^2m^2c^2}{\hbar^2} - \frac{1}{4}}, \quad \approx \frac{Rmc}{\hbar} = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}, \]
    (the quantity \( \frac{\hbar c\nu}{R} \) is a kind of at rest desitterian energy, which is distinct of the proper mass energy \( mc^2 \) if \( \Lambda \neq 0 \)).
  - Then with the mass shell parametrisation \( \xi = \left( \xi^0 = \frac{k_0}{mc}, \xi = \frac{k}{mc}, \xi^4 = 1 \right) \in C_{1,4}^+ : \)
    \[ \phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) \xrightarrow{R \to \infty} e^{i\frac{k \cdot \ell}{\hbar}}, \quad \ell = (ct, r). \]
Analytic extension of dS plane waves for dS QFT

- Actually dS plane waves $\phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) = \left(\frac{x \cdot \xi}{R}\right)^{\tau}$, $\tau = -3/2 + i\nu$, are not defined on all $M_R$ due to the possible change of sign of $x \cdot \xi$.

- A solution is found through extension to tubular domains in complexified $M^i_R$

$$
\mathcal{T}^\pm := T^\pm \cap M_R, \quad T^\pm := M_{1,4} + iV^\pm,
$$

where the forward and backward light cones $V^\pm := \{x \in M_{1,4} , x^0 \geq \sqrt{x^2 + (x^4)^2}\}$ allow for a causal ordering in $M_{1,4}$.

- Then the extended plane waves $\phi_{\tau,z}(x) = \left(\frac{z \cdot \xi}{R}\right)^{\tau}$ are globally defined for $z \in \mathcal{T}^\pm$ and $\xi \in C^{+}_{1,4}$.

- These analytic extensions allow for a consistent QFT for free scalar fields on $M_R$: the two-point Wightman function $\mathcal{W}_\nu(x, x') = \langle \Omega, \phi(x)\phi(x')\Omega \rangle$ can be extended to the complex covariant, maximally analytic, two-point function having the spectral representation in terms of these extended plane waves:

$$
W_{\nu}(z, z') = c_{\nu} \int_{V^+_m \cup V^-_m} (z \cdot \xi)^{-3/2 + i\nu} (\xi \cdot z')^{-3/2 - i\nu} \frac{dk}{k^0}, \quad z \in \mathcal{T}^-, \quad z' \in \mathcal{T}^+.
$$

For the analyticity of $W_\nu(z, z')$ we deduce that $W_\nu(x, x')$ defines a $2i\pi R/c$ periodic analytic function of $t$, whose domain is the periodic cut plane
\[ \mathbb{C}_{x,x'}^\text{cut} = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} , \, \text{Im}(t) \neq 2n\pi R/c , \, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{ t , \, t - 2i\pi R/c \in I_{x,x'}, \, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} , \]
where $I_{x,x'}$ is the real interval on which $(x - x')^2 < 0$.

Hence $W_\nu(z, z')$ is analytic in the strip
\[ \{ t \in \mathbb{C} , \, 0 < \text{Im}(t) < 2i\pi R/c \} , \]
and satisfies:
\[ \forall W_\nu(x' (t + t', x), x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} W_\nu(x, x' (t + t' + 2i\pi R/c - i\epsilon, x) , \, t' \in \mathbb{R} . \]

This is a KMS relation at ($\sim$ Hawking) temperature
\[ T_\Lambda = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi k_B R} := \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi k_B} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} . \]
de Sitter (dS) plane waves in a nutshell

- dS (scalar) plane waves for “massive” scalar particles on dS manifold $M_R = \left\{ x = (x, x^4), \ x \cdot x = x \cdot x - (x^4)^2 = -R^2 = -\left(\frac{3}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \right\}$

\[
\phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) = \left(\frac{x \cdot \xi}{R}\right)^{3/2-i\nu}, \quad x = (x, x^4) \in M_R \subset M_{1,4}
\]

- de Sitterian “momentum” on the null cone $C_{1,4} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^5, \xi \cdot \xi = 0 \}$ in $M_{1,4}$

\[
\xi = \left(\xi = \frac{k}{mc}, \xi^4 = 1\right) \in C_{1,4}
\]

- 3 + 1 Minkowskian limit at $R \to \infty$ (i.e. $\Lambda \to 0$)

\[
(x \cdot \xi / R)^{-3/2+i\nu} \xrightarrow{R \to \infty} e^{ik \cdot \ell / \hbar}, \quad \ell = (ct, r), \quad \nu = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} = \frac{mc^2}{2\pi k_B T_{\Lambda}}
\]
Tsallis distribution as a $\Lambda$-deformation of the Maxwell-Jüttner distribution
In analogy with the de Sitter plane waves, let us introduce the distributions on subset
\[ \sim \mathcal{V}_m^+ \subset \mathbb{C}^{1,4}_+= \{ \xi \in \mathbb{M}_{1,4}, \xi \cdot \xi = 0, \xi^0 > 0 \} : \]
\[ \phi_{\tau,\xi}(x) = \left( \frac{b \cdot \xi}{B} \right)^\tau, \quad b \in \mathbb{M}_B, \quad \xi = \left( \frac{k^0}{mc} > 0, \frac{k}{mc}, -1 \right), \]
where one should note the negative value \(-1\) for \(\xi_4\), and
\[ \mathbb{M}_B \equiv \{ b \in \mathbb{M}_{1,4}, \nabla^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} b^\alpha b^\beta = -B^2 \}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \]
is the manifold of the “deSitterian coldnesses”.

Like for \(\mathbb{M}_R\) we use global coordinates on \(\mathbb{M}_B\) : \(\mathbb{R}, \beta = \| b \| n \in \mathbb{R}^3, \| b \| / B \in [0, \pi]\),
with
\[ \mathbb{M}_B \ni b = (b^0, b^1, b^2, b^3, b^4) \equiv (b^0, b, b^4) \]
\[ = \left( B \sinh(\beta^0 / B), B \cosh(\beta^0 / B) \sin(\| \beta \| / B) n, B \cosh(\beta^0 / B) \cos(\| \beta \| / B) \right) \equiv b(\beta), \]
in such a way that at large \(B\) we recover the Minkowskian coldness \(\beta\) :
\[ \mathbb{M}_B \ni b \approx \ beta \to \infty (\beta, B). \]

We now need to connect the desitterian coldness scale \(B\) with \(\Lambda\). Inspired by relativistic
invariant \(\beta_a = \frac{c}{k_BT_a}\) and the KMS temperature \(T_\Lambda = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi k_B \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}}\) we write
\[ B \propto \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad B = \frac{n}{\hbar \sqrt{\Lambda}}, \quad \Lambda_{\text{current}} = 1.1056 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}, \quad \hbar = 1.054571817 \ldots \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} \]
where \(n\) is a numerical factor. \(B \approx 0.9 \times 10^{60} \text{ m}^{-2}\) SI is the inverse of a momentum.
A de Sitterian Tsallis distribution

Now consider the distribution on $M_B \times \mathcal{V}_m^+$ with $B = \frac{n}{\hbar \sqrt{\Lambda}}$:

$$
\mathcal{N}(b, k) = C_B \left( \frac{b \cdot \xi}{B} \right)^{-mcB} = C_B \left( \frac{b^0}{B} \frac{k^0}{mc} - \frac{b \cdot k}{B mc} + \frac{b^4}{B} \right)^{-mcB}.
$$

$b \in M_B$, $\xi = \left( \frac{k^0}{mc} > 0, \frac{k}{mc}, -1 \right)$,

where $M_B \equiv \{ b \in \mathbb{M}_{1, 4}, b^2 = g_{\alpha \beta} b^\alpha b^\beta = -B^2 \}$, $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, is the manifold of the “deSitterian coldnesses”, and constant $C_B$ involves Legendre function of $mc^2/k_B T_a$ (?!).

With global coordinates

$$
M_B \ni b = \left( B \sinh(\beta^0/B), B \cosh(\beta^0/B) \sin(||\beta||/B)n, -B \cosh(\beta^0/B) \cos(||\beta||/B) \right),
$$

with the constraint $\beta^0/B \in [0, \pi/2]$, $\mathcal{N}(b, k)$ reads

$$
\mathcal{N}(b, k) = C_B \left( \cosh(\beta^0/B) \cos(||\beta||/B) + \sinh(\beta^0/B) \frac{k^0}{mc} - \cosh(\beta^0/B) \sin(||\beta||/B) \frac{n \cdot k}{mc} \right)^{-mcB}
$$

$$
= C_B e^{-mcB \log \left( \cosh(\beta^0/B) \cos(||\beta||/B) + \sinh(\beta^0/B) \frac{k^0}{mc} - \cosh(\beta^0/B) \sin(||\beta||/B) \frac{n \cdot k}{mc} \right)}
$$

$$
= C_B \exp \left[ -mcB \log \left( \cosh(\beta^0/B) \cos(||\beta||/B) \right) \right] \times
$$

$$
\exp -mcB \log \left[ 1 + \frac{\sinh(\beta^0/B) \frac{k^0}{mc} - \cosh(\beta^0/B) \sin(||\beta||/B) \frac{n \cdot k}{mc}}{\cosh(\beta^0/B) \cos(||\beta||/B)} \right].
$$
A de Sitterian Tsallis distribution

- At large $B$ this expression becomes the Maxwell-Jüttner distribution:

$$\mathcal{N}(b, k) \approx C_B e^{-\beta \cdot k}.$$

- So, going back to the original expression

$$\mathcal{N}(b, k) = C_B \left( \frac{b \cdot \xi}{B} \right)^{-mcB} = C_B \left( \frac{b^0}{B} - \frac{k^0}{mc} - \frac{b \cdot k}{mc} + \frac{b^4}{B} \right)^{-mcB}$$

$$= C_B \left( \frac{b^4}{B} \right)^{-mcB} \left( 1 + \frac{b \cdot k}{b^4mc} \right)^{-mcB} \, , \quad b := (b^0, b).$$

- Introducing

$$q = 1 + \frac{1}{mcB} = 1 + \frac{\hbar \sqrt{\Lambda}}{mcn},$$

we get the Tsallis-type distribution

$$\mathcal{N}(b, k) = C_B \left( 1 - (1 - q) \frac{B}{b^4} \frac{b \cdot k}{mc} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}.$$
de Sitterian Tsallis distribution in a nutshell

- de Sitterian coldness manifold with \( B = \frac{n}{\hbar \sqrt{\Lambda}} \), \( n \) : numerical,

\[
M_B \ni b = (b^0, b, b^4), \quad b \cdot b = (b^0)^2 - b \cdot b - (b^4)^2 = -B^2
\]

- de Sitterian distribution on \( M_B \times \mathcal{V}_m^+ \):

\[
\mathcal{N}(b, k) = C_B \left( \frac{b \cdot \xi}{B} \right)^{-mcB}, \quad \xi = \left( \frac{k^0}{mc} > 0, \frac{k}{mc}, -1 \right)
\]

- de Sitterian Tsallis-type distribution

\[
\mathcal{N}(b, k) = C_B \left( 1 - (1 - q) \frac{B}{b^4} \frac{b \cdot k}{b^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}
\]

- With

\[
q = 1 + \frac{1}{mcB} = 1 + \frac{\hbar \sqrt{\Lambda}}{mcn}
\]
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