On the Connection Between the q-Exponential Probability Distributions and the S_q Entropy

Angel Ricardo Plastino

UNNOBA - ARGENTINA

November 8, 2023

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Some of the main *themes* along which research on S_q -thermostatistics was to be conducted where already identified during the period 1993-1997.

Long-range interactions

Systems involving power-law density-dependent diffusion

Edge of chaos; Weak chaos

Micro-canonical description of finite systems

The S_q -Microcanonical connection has interesting historical, pedagogical, and conceptual facets

The *q*-distributions were already present at the very beginning of Statistical Mechanics.

The *q*-distributions are not exotic. They arise inevitably from basic mechanics

The S_q -microcaninical connections suggests an alternative route, still largely unexplored, to explain the phenomenological success of S_q -thermostatistics

Micro-Canonical Path Towards the q-Distribution

Composite system with weakly coupled subsystems A and B.

Gibbs micro-canonical ensemble with total energy E_T .

Level distribution of *B* quasi-continuous, with the number of states with energies less or equal to *E* growing as E^{η} .

The marginal probabilities of A are q-canonical, with $q = \frac{\eta}{\eta-1}$ and $\beta = \frac{\eta-1}{E_T}$.

A. Plastino and A.R. Plastino, PLA 193 (1994) 140.

When *q*-Exponentials Lacked a Name

"the world was so recent that many things lacked names, and in order to indicate them it was necessary to point", Gabriel Garcia Marques, One Hundred Years of Solitude.

J. C. Maxwell, "On Boltzmann's Theorem on the Average Distribution of Energy in a System of Material Points" (1879).

Marginal probability density in configuration space,

$$\mathcal{F}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)=\mathcal{C}\left(1-\frac{V(b_1,\ldots,b_n)}{E}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \ q = \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

A popular derivation of the canonical distribution goes through the *q*-canonical one: Feynman, R. *Statistical mechanics: A set of lectures* (1972). Following in Maxwell's footsteps, more recent authors have, unawarely, depicted nice *q*-distributions:

J. R. Ray and H. W. Graben, *Small systems have* non-Maxwellian momentum distributions in the microcanonical ensemble, Phys. Rev. A **44** (1991) 6905.

F. L. Román, J. A. White, and S. Velasco, *Microcanonical single-particle distributions for an ideal gas in a gravitational field*, *Eur. J. Phys.* **16** (1995) 83.

Why Tsallis statistics? – Baranger's Conjecture

Baranger (2002) suggested that the microcanonical scenario advanced by Plastino & Plastino (1994) may be extended to non-equilibrium processes.

A subsystem may interact with a finite number of effective degrees of freedom of the rest of the system, establishing a q-canonical quasi-equilibrium state.

Possible connection with violent relaxation in galactic dynamics (Lynden-Bell, 1967).

M. Baranger, Why Tsallis statistics?. *Physica A* **305** (2002) 27.

The AMAA formulation of the S_q -micro-canonical connection for finite classical Hamiltonian systems with a continuous phase-space

$$egin{aligned} H &= H_A(\omega_A) + \sum_{k=1}^J H_k(\omega_k), \ q &= 1 \,+ \, \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^J rac{n_k}{l_k}
ight) - 1
ight]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The theoretically derived *q*-canonical distribution was neatly verified through numerical experiments on a chain of anharmonic oscillators.

A.B. Adib, A.A. Moreira, J.S. Andrade Jr, and M.F. Almeida, *Physica A* **322** (2003) 276.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Researchers have explored and elaborated the S_q -micro-canonical connection in a number of interesting directions.

V. Aquilanti, E.P. Borges, N.D. Coutinho, K.C. Mundim, and V.H. Carvalho-Silva, *From statistical thermodynamics to molecular kinetics: the change, the chance and the choice*, Rendiconti Lincei **29** (2018) 787.

J. A. S. Lima and A. Deppman, *Tsallis meets Boltzmann: q-index for a finite ideal gas and its thermodynamic limit, Phys. Rev. E* **101** (2020) 040102.

A.R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Brief Review on the Connection between the Micro-Canonical Ensemble and the S_q -Canonical Probability Distribution, Entropy **25** (2023) 591.

Alternatives to the S_q -entropy representation of the q-distributions

J. Naudts and M. Baeten, *Non-extensivity of the configurational density distribution in the classical microcanonical ensemble, Entropy* **11** (2009) 285.

J. D. Ramshaw, *Maximum entropy and constraints in composite systems*, *PRE* **105** (2022) 024138.

Are generalized entropies needed, in order to get appropriate optimum-entropy representations of probability distributions?

Entropy
$$\longrightarrow S = -\int F(x) \ln F(x) dx$$
.
Constraints $\longrightarrow b = \langle B \rangle = \int B(x)F(x) dx; \quad \int F(x) dx = 1$.
Entropy optimization $\longrightarrow F(x) = \exp(-1 - \alpha - \beta B(x))$.

Logarithmic Constraints : $F(x) \longrightarrow B(x) = \delta - \ln F$. Entropy optimization $\longrightarrow F(x; \beta) = \frac{[F(x)]^{\beta}}{\int [F(x)]^{\beta} dx}$.

For pure power laws, the Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the logarithmic constraint coincides with the power-law exponent

> Logarithmic Constraints : $\longrightarrow \langle \ln n \rangle$. Entropy optimization $\longrightarrow P(n) = An^{-\beta}$.

Possible justification of logarithmic constraints: logarithmic response of perception; Weber-Fechner law (examples: astronomical magnitudes; decibels).

M. Visser, *Zipf's law, power laws and maximum entropy*, New Jour. Phy. **15** (2013) 043021.

The entropy optimization game with one constraint (besides normalization)

Choose a trace-form entropy $S_G[F] = \int C[F(x)]dx$, a relevant constraint $\langle B(x) \rangle$, and the appropriate phase space variables x.

Given the sole input $b = \langle B(x) \rangle$, determine the probability distribution optimizing the entropy S_G :

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{A}[-\alpha - \beta \mathbf{x}]$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The function \mathcal{A} is determined by the function \mathcal{C} .

The Inverse Game: finding economical entropic characterizations

Given a parameterized family of probability distributions

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{A}[-\alpha - \beta \mathbf{x}]$$

find a trace-form entropy S_G and an appropriate constraint $\langle B(x) \rangle$ yielding a representation in terms of entropy optimization.

The inverse game has a unique answer. The forms of S_G and B(x) are completely determined by the form of the parameterized family of probability distributions.

A.R. Plastino, A. Plastino, and B.H. Soffer, *Ambiguities in the forms of the entropic functional and constraints in the*

Pure power laws and their relatives

$$P(n) = A n^{-\beta} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} S[P] = -\sum_{\substack{n \in P(n) \mid n \neq n}} P(n) \\ \sqrt{\ln n} \end{cases}$$

$$P(n) = A a_n^{-\beta} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} S[P] = -\sum_{\substack{n \\ l \mid n}} P(n) \ln P(n) \\ \langle l \mid n \rangle \end{cases}$$

From the point of view of the entropy optimization game, pure power laws and Gibbs distributions are close relatives.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

The q-exponential family

The *q*-exponential family of probability distributions or densities is *bi-parametric*: it depends on *two* parameters, β and *q*.

Depending on which parameter we regard as variable, and which as fixed, the entropy optimization game will determine the appropriate entropy.

• q fixed and
$$\beta$$
 variable $\longrightarrow S_{\alpha}$

• β fixed and q variable $\longrightarrow S_1$

The second case, however, requires a β -dependent constraint of the form $\langle 1 - (1 - q)\beta E \rangle$.

Final Remarks

- q-distributions are not exotic
- q-distributions are inextricably linked to some basic, early ideas of statistical mechanics
- The micro-canonical connection is the less unexplored road to q-statistics. Links between this connection, and other roads leading to q-statistics are worth exploring
- Once we accept the entropy optimization game, the presence of q-distributions inevitably leads to S_q entropies

HAPPY BIRTHDAY CONSTANTINO !!!!